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1. Do you have a disability-related grievance procedure?

2. Have you updated your eligibility form and process in light of the ADAAA?
   - Do the major life activities” extend beyond within and beyond learning with carefully circumscribed additions under “Other”?
   - Does it generally include a “child find” process that dovetails on the one side with general education interventions (and, if used in your district, RTI) and on the other side with IDEA eligibility?
   - Does it specifically dovetail systematically, after prompt initial screening, with the use of individual health plans?

3. Do you have available and regularly use a procedural safeguards notice that is different from the one that the IDEA requires and that meets at least the Section 504 essentials?
   - including a pre-planned process for impartial hearings
   - including a readiness to respond to OCR complaints

4. Does the process for and the contents of individual 504 plans square with the Section 504 definition and standard for FAPE?
   - including reasonable necessity for a commensurate opportunity directly based on the identified basis for eligibility
   - including periodic review that includes the threshold issue of continued eligibility and the ultimate issue of long-term as well as short-term reasonableness

5. Does your suspension/expulsion procedure for Section 504-only students conform to the requirements that are different from those for students under the IDEA?
   - e.g., students using alcohol or illegal drugs
   - e.g., not interim alternate educational settings
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Does your school district have a grievance procedure Section 504 and the ADA and, if so, is it legally defensible? There are several reasons that your answer should be “Yes.” First, both the Sec. 504 and the ADA regulations require such a procedure, at least if your district has at least 15 employees or 50 employees, respectively. 34 C.F.R. § 104.7(b); 28 C.F.R. 35.107(b). Second, parents or other individuals have had a high rate (75%) of success in OCR complaints concerning this requirement. See Perry Zirkel, Section 504 and Public School Students: An Empirical Overview, 120 WEST’S EDUC. L. REP. 369, 377 (1997). Third, and not at least, such a procedure serves as an internal mechanism for resolving Sec. 504 and ADA complaints short of the costly involvement of OCR, due process hearings, and courts.

The pertinent Sec. 504 and ADA regulations only provide that the grievance procedure incorporate “appropriate due process standards” and be “prompt and equitable.” The LRP two-volume set – PERRY ZIRKEL, SECTION 504, THE ADA AND THE SCHOOLS (2011) – contains sample forms and related rulings for this requirement. As a supplement, this checklist provides operational criteria for a legally defensible Sec. 504/ADA grievance procedure.

1. Can you show that your district has both adopted and made this procedure generally available (e.g., in a parent handbook)?

2. Does the procedure expressly cover not only Sec. 504 but also the ADA?

3. Does it extend to not only student education issues, but also any individual’s complaint relating to the other applicable aspects of Sec. 504 and the ADA – nonacademic services, preschool and adult education programs, employment, and facilities (including communications)?

4. Is it separate from, and not confused with, other complaint resolution mechanisms, such as a student’s right to an impartial due process hearing and any individual’s right to file an OCR complaint?

5. Does it have a minimum of two, preferably three, levels, typically starting with a relatively informal step and ending with a formal central office (or, in small districts, school board) appellate decision?

6. Does it include expeditious and adequate investigation by the designated Sec. 504/ADA coordinator?

7. Does it specify time lines (e.g., 5 working days) for prompt processing of complaints, with a written reply to the grievant, at each level?
**SECTION 504/ADA STUDENT ELIGIBILITY FORM*\**

Child's Name: ___________________________ Birthdate: ___________________________

Eligibility Team Members: Fill in names and check whether knowledgeable about the:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Names:</th>
<th>…child</th>
<th>…meaning of evaluation data</th>
<th>…accommodations/placement options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources of evaluation information (indicate each one used):

- _____ aptitude and/or achievement tests
- _____ teacher recommendations
- _____ adaptive behavior
- _____ others(specify): ______________________

1. Specify the mental or physical **impairment** __________________________________________
   (as recognized in DSM-IV or other respected source if not excluded under 504/ADA, e.g., illegal drug use)

2. Check the major life activity:   ___seeing   ___hearing   ___walking   ___breathing   ___learning
   ___manual tasks   ___reading   ___thinking   ___concentrating   ___communicating
   ___eating   ___sleeping   ___bowel functions   ___bladder functions   ___digestive functions

   or specify alternative of equivalent scope and importance: ____________________________

3. Place an "X" on the following scale to indicate the specific degree that the impairment (in #1) limits the major life activity (in #2):

   • Make an educated estimate **without** the effects of mitigating measures, such as medication; low-vision devices (except eyeglasses or contact lenses); hearing aids and cochlear implants; mobility devices, prosthetics, assistive technology; learned behavioral or adaptive neurological modifications; and reasonable accommodations or auxiliary aids/services.
   • Similarly, for impairments that are episodic or in remission, make the determination for the time they are active.
   • Use the average student in the general (i.e., national or state) population as the frame of reference.
   • Interpret close calls in favor of broad coverage (i.e., construing Items 1-3 to the maximum extent that they permit). Thus, for an "X" at 4.0 or below, fill in specific information evaluated by the team that justifies the rating:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Substantially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mildly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negligibly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. If the team's determination for #3 was less than “4,” provide notice to the parents of their procedural rights, including an impartial hearing. If the team's determination was a “4” or above, the team should determine and list on the 504/ADA Plan the specific accommodations that are necessary for the child to have an opportunity commensurate with nondisabled students (of the same age).

© 2009 — Permitted for customized use by school districts contingent upon including this acknowledgement on all copies: “* Adapted with permission from Perry A. Zirkel, author of Section 504, the ADA and the Schools.”
§ 504ADA PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE*

For students eligible or suspected to be eligible solely under § 504 and the ADA, but not under the IDEA, questions commonly arise as to compliance procedures with regard to parental notice. This notice should accompany at least the eligibility determination and any significant change in placement. Not to be confused with the procedural safeguards requirements of the IDEA (34 C.F.R. §§ 300.503-300.504), the shaded contents below constitute the essential, or minimum, ingredients for such a form (per 34 C.F.R. § 104.36). In addition, districts may wish to consider adding other, discretionary features, such as the following:

1) an introductory section, citing and describing the nondiscrimination obligation of § 504 and the ADA

2) more details about the listed procedural rights, such as an explanation of the term “educational placement” and “significant change in placement” in this pure (rather than overlapping IDEA) § 504/ADA context and about the regulatory requirements for evaluation and placement

3) a list of the eligible child’s substantive rights, such as the § 504 definition of “free and appropriate education” and § 504 “least restrictive environment” requirements for academic and nonacademic settings

4) a reference to the more general requirements, such as your grievance procedure and 504/ADA coordinator

5) perhaps most importantly, a final section and related procedure to document parents’ receipt of this notice form

---------------------

In accordance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, the ______________ School District provides you, as the parent or guardian, with the following procedural safeguards in relation to your child.

1. You have a right to receive a copy of this notice upon the district’s identification, evaluation, refusal to provide an evaluation, educational placement, denial of educational placement and any significant change in said placement of your child.

2. You have the right to an evaluation of your child if the district has reason to believe that your child has a mental or physical impairment that substantially limits learning or some other major life activity …

   a) before the initial placement.

   b) before any subsequent significant change in placement.

3. You have the right to an opportunity to examine all relevant records for your child.

4. You have the right to an impartial hearing, with participation by you and representation by counsel, concerning the identification, evaluation or educational placement of your child.

5. You have the right to appeal the final decision of the impartial hearing officer to a court of competent jurisdiction.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR § 504/ADA PLANS
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Interestingly, the relevant regulations do not mention “accommodations” or a 504 Plan, much less a required designation, or name, for such a document; rather, they only require that the district provide each eligible student “free appropriate public education” (FAPE), defined as “regular or special education and related aids and services.”

→ For “504-alone” students, meaning those that are not also covered by the IDEA, it is advisable to have, for purposes of planning and proof, a § 504/ADA accommodations form – titled however your district chooses but showing that it covers both § 504 and the ADA.

→ The plan should be limited to those accommodations that are necessitated by the identified impairment, not just any accommodations that would benefit the student.

→ The prevailing judicial standard is “reasonable accommodation,” which is the opposite of undue hardship or fundamental alteration.

→ Although neglected by many districts, the eligible child is also entitled to any necessary related services as part of FAPE.

→ In addition to identifying the child and specifying the necessary accommodations and related services, the template, or form, for this purpose may contain other accountability features, depending on district discretion, such as the following:

  • identifying, by name, the person(s) responsible for implementing each accommodation or service

  • also identifying, in this case by role, the individual responsible for ensuring proper implementation

  • listing an approximate time for review of the plan (typically at the end or start of the school year, but the standard for periodic review is reasonableness, which is more flexible than the IDEA standard for IEP reviews

---

1 34 C.F.R. § 104.33(a).
2 However, based on the rest of the FAPE regulation, OCR opines that the applicable standard is commensurate opportunity.
• providing a space at the bottom for the parent(s) to acknowledge receipt of or agreement with the plan

Be wary about including a checklist menu of accommodations directly in your form. On the one hand, such a checklist invites over-identification of what is necessary in terms of the student’s disability (as compared with beneficial strategies that should be part of the child’s regular education program and subject to local, not federal, accountability). On the other hand, such a checklist often does not provide the specificity that OCR or a hearing officer might well expect if the parents choose to challenge the plan on the grounds of formulation or implementation.

Although high-stakes testing and the NCLB are undeniably a potent motivation, district should be careful about agreeing to overdoing testing accommodations as either the basis for eligibility or as a short-range substitute for more effective long-range interventions for the child.

3 In a recent case, for example, OCR found a district’s consent requirement to violate § 504, reasoning as follows: “Moreover, the Section 504 regulations do not require a signature on a Section 504 plan for the plan to be valid. The committee's determination that the student needs certain related aids and services to ensure an appropriate public education establishes the district's obligation to implement the related aids and services.” Tyler (TX) Indep. Sch. Dist., 56 IDELR § 24 (OCR 2010).
SAMPLE SEC. 504/ADA PLAN

Student’s Name ______________________  School _____________________

Team (knowledgeable about the student, the evaluation, and the accommodations):
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________

Identified Impairment/ Major Life Activity  Individual(s) Responsible for: Implementation  Monitoring

Describe location of accommodations/services if other than the regular classroom setting and justifying reason(s):
____________________________________________________________________________________

Beginning date: ___________  Ending (i.e., review) date ___________

I have received the Sec. 504/ADA Procedural Safeguards along with a copy of this Plan.

Parent/Guardian Signature  Date